

# Thame Community Governance Review: we'd like your feedback

1. Are you responding as:				
Answer Choices			Response Percent	Response Total
1	a resident within the parish		50.00%	6
2	someone who works within the parish		0.00%	0
3	a business / organisation operating within the parish		8.33%	1
4	a visitor or interested party		0.00%	0
5	a councillor (parish, district, county)		16.67%	2
6	an officer (parish, district, county)		25.00%	3
7	Other (please specify):		0.00%	0
			answered	12
			skipped	0
Other (please specify): (0)				
No answers found.				

2. If you are responding as a business / organisation, council or body please provide its name:				
Answer Choices			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Open-Ended Question		100.00%	5
1	Thame Town Council. SODC			
2	Great Haseley Parish Council, Oxfordshire			
3	Thame Town Council			
4	Thame Town Council			
5	Great Haseley Parish Council			
			answered	5
			skipped	7

**3. To help us analyse responses, please provide your full postcode (e.g. RG9 1XX)**

Answer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Open-Ended Question	100.00%	11
		answered	11
		skipped	1

**4. Which proposal would you like to comment on: Tick all that apply.**

Answer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Make no change to the boundary between Thame Parish and Great Haseley Parish 	58.33%	7
2	Amend the ward boundary between Thame North and Thame South wards so that there is a more equal representation 	66.67%	8
		answered	12
		skipped	0

**8. How far do you support or oppose the proposal to amend the ward boundary between Thame North and Thame South wards so that there is a more equal representation?**

Answer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly support 	37.50%	3
2	Tend to support 	25.00%	2
3	Neither support or oppose 	12.50%	1
4	Tend to oppose	0.00%	0
5	Strongly oppose 	12.50%	1
6	Not sure	0.00%	0
7	I don't have a view 	12.50%	1
		answered	8
		skipped	4

**9. If you have any comments to support or oppose the proposal, please provide them below:**

Answer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Open-Ended Question	100.00%	7
1	This would give parity to the North and South wards which have equal representation		
2	Council tax gone up, normal working class people up against it, and you want to waste revenue on this utter waste of time which has probably already been decided. Show some respect please		
3	What the survey letter does not address is how many people it will involve, it shows the map but not the numbers. This smacks of opening up the land for development rather than levelling up the numbers. What I want to see is how many numbers we are currently going to see if this is changed and the proposed numbers that will change.		
4	That is truly one terrible map/diagram. The objective should be to explain and elucidate for those who are unfamiliar and in this it has singularly failed. While it may have succeeded in setting out the map designer's understanding it has (almost) failed to explain it for the intended target audience.  Poor choice of colours with poor contrast. Inadequate legends. Lack of review by a representative random sample audience.		
5	I would have preferred to have seen a comparison of numbers of residents and employed persons in the wards and revision of wards for comparison		
6	equal representation is vital		
7	Thame Town Council fully supports the proposal to amend the ward boundary between Thame North and Thame South so that current electoral ward imbalance is addressed and there is a more logical division of the parish.		
		answered	7
		skipped	5

**10. If you have any alternative suggestions in relation to this proposal, please provide them below.**

Answer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Open-Ended Question	0.00%	0
No answers found.			
		answered	0
		skipped	12

**11. You can upload any supporting documents using the button below.**

File Type	Average Size	Files Uploaded
To view the files uploaded, go into the individual results.	answered	0

11. You can upload any supporting documents using the button below.

File Type	Average Size	Files Uploaded
	skipped	12